

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**VOLUME 1: EIS PROCESS OVERVIEW****VOLUME 2: PROJECT DESCRIPTION****VOLUME 3: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF GAS FIELD COMPONENT****VOLUME 4: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF PIPELINE COMPONENT****VOLUME 5: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF LNG COMPONENT****Chapter 1**

1	<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	1
1.1	<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	1
1.2	<i>CHANGES TO PROJECT DESCRIPTION</i>	1
1.3	<i>STUDIES</i>	1
1.4	<i>SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</i>	1
1.4.1	<i>Introduction</i>	1
1.4.2	<i>Climate and Climate Change</i>	2
1.4.3	<i>Topography and Geomorphology</i>	2
1.4.4	<i>Geology and Soils</i>	2
1.4.5	<i>Land Use and Infrastructure</i>	2
1.4.6	<i>Land Contamination</i>	2
1.4.7	<i>Terrestrial Ecology</i>	2
1.4.8	<i>Marine Ecology</i>	3
1.4.9	<i>Surface Water</i>	3
1.4.10	<i>Groundwater</i>	4
1.4.11	<i>Coastal Environment</i>	4
1.4.12	<i>Air</i>	4
1.4.13	<i>Noise and Vibration</i>	4
1.4.14	<i>Transport</i>	4
1.4.15	<i>Shipping</i>	5
1.4.16	<i>Visual Amenity</i>	6
1.4.17	<i>Waste Management</i>	6
1.4.18	<i>Hazard and Risk Assessment</i>	6
1.4.19	<i>Conclusion</i>	7

Chapter 2

2	<i>CLIMATE AND CLIMATE CHANGE</i>	1
2.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
2.2	<i>AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS</i>	1

Chapter 3

3	<i>TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOMORHOLOGY</i>	1
3.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
3.2	<i>AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS</i>	1

Chapter 4

4	<i>GEOLOGY AND SOILS</i>	1
4.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
4.2	<i>AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS</i>	4

Table

5.4.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
--------------	--	----------

Chapter 5

5	<i>LAND USE AND INFRASTRUCTURE</i>	1
5.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
5.2	<i>AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS</i>	1

Table

5.5.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
--------------	--	----------

Chapter 6

6	<i>LAND CONTAMINATION</i>	1
6.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
6.2	<i>AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS</i>	1

Chapter 7

7	TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY	1
7.1	INTRODUCTION	1
7.2	RESPONSES TO SUBMISSIONS	1
7.3	ADDITIONAL INPUT TO THE TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY BASELINE	7
7.3.1	Powerful Owl Survey Results	7
7.3.2	Migratory Species Survey Results	11
7.4	UPDATE OF TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY IMPACTS	13
7.4.1	Vegetation	13
7.4.2	Fauna	17
7.4.3	Impact Summary	19
7.5	MITIGATION	19
7.6	OFFSETS	20
7.7	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	22
7.7.1	Vegetation Clearing	22
7.7.2	Powerful Owl	23
7.7.3	Migratory Species	23

Figures

5.7.1	POWERFUL OWL SURVEY AREA	8
5.7.2	LOCATION OF POWERFUL OWL POTENTIAL NEST TREES AND ROOST SITES	10
5.7.3	LOCATION OF SHOREBIRD ROOSTING AND FORAGING AREAS	12
5.7.4	REGIONAL ECOSYSTEM VEGETATION TO BE CLEARED AT THE LNG FACILITY	14
5.7.5	REGIONAL ECOSYSTEM VEGETATION TRAVERSED BY THE PIPELINE CROSSING OVER THE NARROWS	16

Table

5.7.1	SUMMARY OF LNG COMPONENT TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY SUBMISSIONS ON THE DRAFT EIS	2
-------	---	---

Chapter 8

8	MARINE ECOLOGY	1
8.1	RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS	1
8.2	SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL INPUT TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS	2
8.3	REMOTELY SENSED WATER QUALITY INFORMATION	5
8.4	VESSEL MOVEMENTS IN PORT CURTIS	7
8.5	UNDERWATER NOISE	8
8.5.1	Noise Modelling Outcomes for Marine Fauna	9
8.5.2	Mitigation	11

8.6	HYDRODYNAMIC IMPACTS	12
8.6.1	<i>Tidal Hydraulics</i>	14
8.6.2	<i>Current Velocity and Flushing Rates</i>	15
8.7	EFFLUENT DISCHARGE	16
8.7.1	<i>Near Field</i>	17
8.7.2	<i>Far Field</i>	18
8.7.3	<i>Receiving Waters and Discussion of Impact</i>	21
8.7.4	<i>Revised Discharge Impact Assessment for sEIS</i>	24
8.7.5	<i>Potential plume overlap from other projects</i>	24
8.8	MANAGEMENT OF IMPACTS TO BENTHIC FLORA AND FAUNA FROM DREDGING	25
8.8.1	<i>Impact Area</i>	27
8.8.2	<i>Management Area 1</i>	28
8.8.3	<i>Management Area 2</i>	28
8.8.4	<i>Unimpacted Area</i>	29
8.9	SEAGRASS AND ALGAL COMMUNITIES	29
8.9.1	<i>Additional Baseline</i>	29
8.9.2	<i>Impact Assessment</i>	35
8.10	PORT CURTIS REEF HABITATS	44
8.10.1	<i>Additional Baseline</i>	44
8.11	POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO CORAL REEFS	47
8.11.1	<i>Effects of Suspended Solids</i>	48
8.11.2	<i>Effects of Turbidity</i>	48
8.11.3	<i>Effects of Sedimentation</i>	49
8.11.4	<i>Potential Impacts to Coral Associated with Proposed Dredging Activities</i>	50
8.12	POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO MANGROVES	52
8.13	BENTHIC COMMUNITIES OF THE EAST BANKS SPOIL GROUND	55
8.14	POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON AUSTRALIAN SNUBFIN DOLPHIN AND INDO-PACIFIC HUMPBACK DOLPHIN	58
8.14.1	<i>Habitat and Distribution</i>	60
8.14.2	<i>Feeding Ecology</i>	61
8.14.3	<i>Population Size</i>	61
8.14.4	<i>Impact Assessment</i>	62
8.14.5	<i>Conclusion</i>	64
8.15	POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON DUGONG	65
8.15.1	<i>Habitat</i>	65
8.15.2	<i>Population size</i>	65
8.15.3	<i>Underwater Noise</i>	65
8.15.4	<i>Vessel Interactions</i>	66
8.15.5	<i>Conclusions</i>	66
8.16	POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON TURTLES	67
8.16.1	<i>Habitat</i>	67
8.16.2	<i>Underwater Noise</i>	67
8.16.3	<i>Loss of Habitat</i>	69
8.16.4	<i>Light</i>	69
8.16.5	<i>Vessel Interactions</i>	69
8.16.6	<i>Conclusions</i>	70

Figures

5.8.1	<i>DERIVED MEAN TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS IN SURFACE WATERS FEBRUARY TO APRIL 2007 – 2009</i>	6
5.8.2	<i>MOF PILING OPERATION SEL NOISE CONTOURS 2 M BELOW SURFACE</i>	10
5.8.3	<i>JETTY PILING OPERATION SEL NOISE CONTOURS 2 M BELOW SURFACE</i>	10
5.8.4	<i>NEAR-FIELD DILUTION WITH DISTANCE DOWN-CURRENT FROM OUTFALL</i>	18
5.8.5	<i>NEAR-FIELD DILUTION WITH DEPTH BELOW OUTFALL</i>	18
5.8.6	<i>SALINITY MODEL RESULT EXTRACTION LOCATIONS</i>	19
5.8.7	<i>FAR-FIELD SALINITY MODELLING RESULTS</i>	20
5.8.8	<i>FAR-FIELD TOTAL NITROGEN MODELLING RESULTS</i>	20
5.8.9	<i>FAR-FIELD TOTAL PHOSPHORUS MODELLING RESULTS</i>	21
5.8.10	<i>WATER QUALITY SAMPLING LOCATIONS (NOVEMBER 2008)</i>	23
5.8.11	<i>SEWAGE EFFLUENT (L/S) BY CONSTRUCTION MONTH</i>	24
5.8.12	<i>SCHEMATIC OF IMPACT ZONE FOR MANAGEMENT OF DREDGING</i>	26
5.8.13	<i>SEAGRASS IN THE PORT OF GLADSTONE REGION, 1988</i>	30
5.8.14	<i>SEAGRASS IN THE PORT OF GLADSTONE REGION, 1989</i>	31
5.8.15	<i>SEAGRASS IN THE PORT OF GLADSTONE REGION, 2003</i>	36
5.8.16	<i>MANGROVES AND SALTPANS/SALTMARSH: DIRECT PROJECT IMPACTS</i>	54
5.8.17	<i>LOCATION OF OFFSHORE DREDGED SPOIL DISPOSAL GROUND</i>	56
5.8.18	<i>KNOWN AND SUSPECTED DISTRIBUTION OF INDO-PACIFIC HUMPAK DOLPHIN IN AUSTRALIAN WATERS</i>	59
5.8.19	<i>KNOWN AND SUSPECTED DISTRIBUTION OF AUSTRALIAN SNUBFIN DOLPHIN IN AUSTRALIAN WATERS</i>	59

Tables

5.8.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
5.8.2	<i>REVISIONS TO MARINE ECOLOGY</i>	3
5.8.3	<i>ESTIMATED RECEIVED LEVELS AT WHICH THERE IS A POSSIBILITY OF PHYSICAL INJURY OR BEHAVIOURAL EFFECT FOR TURTLES.</i>	8
5.8.4	<i>ESTIMATED RECEIVED LEVELS AT WHICH THERE IS A POSSIBILITY OF PHYSICAL INJURY OR BEHAVIOURAL EFFECT FOR CETACEANS AND DUGONGS</i>	9
5.8.5	<i>FURTHEST DISTANCE TO ZONES OF AVOIDANCE AND POSSIBLE PHYSICAL INJURY</i>	11
5.8.6	<i>PREDICTED CHANGES IN SPRING LOW-TIDE LEVEL FROM EXISTING SITUATION</i>	14
5.8.7	<i>ASSUMED SEWAGE EFFLUENT DISCHARGE PARAMETERS</i>	17
5.8.8	<i>QUEENSLAND WATER QUALITY GUIDELINE VALUES: SLIGHTLY TO MODERATELY DISTURBED SYSTEMS</i>	21
5.8.9	<i>WATER QUALITY SAMPLING: SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS</i>	22
5.8.10	<i>AREA (HA) FOR FISHERMAN'S LANDING MEADOWS IN PORT CURTIS NOVEMBER 2002, NOVEMBER 2004, OCTOBER 2005, NOVEMBER 2006, OCTOBER 2007, NOVEMBER 2008 AND NOVEMBER 2009</i>	33
5.8.11	<i>MEAN ABOVE GROUND BIOMASS (G DW M⁻²) FOR FISHERMAN'S LANDING MEADOWS IN PORT CURTIS NOVEMBER 2002, NOVEMBER 2004, OCTOBER 2005, NOVEMBER 2006, OCTOBER 2007, NOVEMBER 2008 AND NOVEMBER 2009</i>	34

5.8.12	<i>DIRECT LOSS ESTIMATES AS A PERCENTAGE AND AREA COVER (IN HA) OF SEAGRASSES DUE TO THE PROPOSED QCLNG INFRASTRUCTURE</i>	37
5.8.13	<i>INDIRECT LOSS RISK ESTIMATES AS A PERCENTAGE AND AREA COVER OF SEAGRASSES DUE TO DEPTH AVERAGED TSS CONCENTRATIONS EXCEEDING A THRESHOLD OF 25 MG/L</i>	39
5.8.14	<i>SUMMARY OF PREDICTED TSS CONCENTRATIONS RECEIVED AT SITES FOR DIFFERENT DREDGE SCENARIOS</i>	40
5.8.15	<i>RESPONSE OF SEAGRASS SPECIES TO EXPERIMENTAL BURIAL</i>	42
5.8.16	<i>SEDIMENTATION RATES AND DURATION BEFORE NEGATIVE PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSE, OR MORTALITY WAS OBSERVED</i>	49
5.8.17	<i>SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION RATES MEASURED OVER REEFS EXPERIENCING SOME IMPACT (MODIFIED AFTER THOMAS AND RIDD, 2005)</i>	50
5.8.18	<i>DIRECT MANGROVE IMPACTS</i>	53

Chapter 9

9	<i>SURFACE WATER</i>	1
9.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
9.2	<i>AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS</i>	1

Chapter 10

10	<i>GROUNDWATER</i>	1
10.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
10.2	<i>AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS</i>	1

Chapter 11

11	<i>COASTAL ENVIRONMENT</i>	1
11.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
11.2	<i>AMENDED HYDRODYNAMIC MODELLING AND E-FOLDING</i>	1

Figures

5.11.1	<i>SCENARIO 1 "EXISTING" CONFIGURATION</i>	4
5.11.2	<i>SCENARIO 2A CONFIGURATION</i>	5
5.11.3	<i>SCENARIO 2B "PROJECT CASE"</i>	6
5.11.4	<i>SCENARIO 3 "PROJECT CUMULATIVE" CONFIGURATION</i>	7
5.11.5	<i>SCENARIO 4 "FULL CUMULATIVE" CONFIGURATION</i>	8

5.11.6	SCENARIO 1 E-FOLDING TIME	9
5.11.7	SCENARIO 2B E-FOLDING TIME	10
5.11.8	SCENARIO 2B E-FOLDING TIME DIFFERENCES	11

Table

5.11.1	RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS	1
--------	--------------------------------------	---

Chapter 12

12	AIR QUALITY	1
12.1	RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS	1
12.2	AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS	10

Tables

5.12.1	RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS	2
5.12.2	QCLNG PROJECT ESTIMATED EMISSION LIMITS	10

Chapter 13

13	NOISE AND VIBRATION	1
13.1	INTRODUCTION	1
13.2	RESPONSES TO SUBMISSIONS	1
13.3	ADDITIONAL INPUT TO THE NOISE AND VIBRATION BASELINE	2
13.3.1	<i>Changes at the LNG Facility</i>	2
13.3.2	<i>Results of the Baseline Traffic Noise Monitoring</i>	3
13.4	UPDATE OF NOISE IMPACTS	5
13.4.1	<i>Impacts Associated with Changes to the LNG Plant</i>	5
13.4.2	<i>Potential Construction Traffic Related Impacts</i>	8
13.4.3	<i>Potential Impacts on the Gladstone LNG Construction Camp</i>	9
13.4.4	<i>Pipe unloading and stockpiling at Auckland Point</i>	12
13.4.5	<i>Impact Summary</i>	12
13.5	MITIGATION	12
13.6	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	13
13.6.1	<i>Noise impacts from multiple industries</i>	13
13.6.2	<i>Cumulative Traffic Noise Impacts – Pipeline Import</i>	16

Figures

5.13.1	<i>INDICATIVE HAUL ROUTES AND NOISE ASSESSMENT LOCATIONS</i>	4
5.13.2	<i>LNG FACILITY OPERATIONAL NOISE CONTOURS, THREE-TRAIN SCENARIO, ADVERSE CONDITIONS</i>	7
5.13.3	<i>PROPOSED LOCATION OF THE GLNG PLANT AND CAMP</i>	11
5.13.4	<i>PROPOSED INDUSTRIAL PROJECTS IN THE GLADSTONE AREA</i>	15

Tables

5.13.1	<i>SUMMARY OF LNG COMPONENT NOISE AND VIBRATION SUBMISSIONS ON THE DRAFT EIS</i>	1
5.13.2	<i>PREDICTED OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS FOR REVISED LNG PLANT</i>	5
5.13.3	<i>CHANGE FROM THE DRAFT EIS PREDICTIONS – 3-TRAIN SCENARIO</i>	6
5.13.4	<i>NOISE LEVELS FROM PROPOSED PROJECTS AROUND GLADSTONE HARBOUR</i>	14

Chapter 14

14	<i>ROAD, RAIL, AIR AND PUBLIC TRANSPORT</i>	1
14.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
14.2	<i>REVISED TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT</i>	5
14.3	<i>IMPACT ASSESSMENT SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY</i>	5
14.3.1	<i>Assessment Scope</i>	5
14.3.2	<i>Geographic Scope</i>	6
14.3.3	<i>Impact Assessment Data</i>	9
14.3.4	<i>Traffic Generation and Assignment</i>	10
14.3.5	<i>Traffic Impact Assessment</i>	10
14.3.6	<i>Traffic Impact Management and Mitigation</i>	11
14.3.7	<i>Pavement Impact Assessment and Mitigation</i>	11
14.3.8	<i>Future Traffic Growth and Cumulative Impacts</i>	11
14.4	<i>PROJECT TRAFFIC ASSUMPTIONS</i>	11
14.4.1	<i>Construction Workforce</i>	11
14.4.2	<i>Construction Heavy Vehicle Demands</i>	21
14.4.3	<i>Operations</i>	24
14.5	<i>TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT SCENARIOS</i>	25
14.6	<i>TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT OUTCOMES</i>	25
14.6.1	<i>Link Analysis</i>	25
14.6.2	<i>Intersection Analysis</i>	35
14.6.3	<i>Microsimulation Network Analysis</i>	38
14.6.4	<i>Pavement Impact Assessment</i>	40
14.7	<i>IMPACTS TO AIR TRANSPORT</i>	41
14.8	<i>IMPACTS TO RAIL TRANSPORT</i>	41
14.9	<i>CONCLUSION</i>	42

Figures

5.14.1	<i>ROAD IMPACT ASSESSMENT - STUDY AREA</i>	7
5.14.2	<i>SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION PERSONNEL MOVEMENTS</i>	14
5.14.3	<i>GLADSTONE-BASED EMPLOYEE RESIDENCE - SUBURB DISTRIBUTION</i>	16
5.14.4	<i>MEAN DAILY TRAFFIC FLOW BY HOUR</i>	17
5.14.5	<i>PERSONNEL MOVEMENT AT END OF SHIFT (SATURDAY AFTERNOON) - PEAK CONSTRUCTION (2012)</i>	19
5.14.6	<i>INDICATIVE BREAKDOWN – TOTAL TRUCKS THROUGH AUCKLAND POINT, BY CONSTRUCTION MONTH</i>	21
5.14.7	<i>INDICATIVE BREAKDOWN – BULK MATERIAL TONNAGE FOR LNG FACILITY CONSTRUCTION</i>	23
5.14.8	<i>DISTRIBUTION OPTION 1 – DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAFFIC AT 2012 - PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN TRAFFIC AGAINST 2008 BACKGROUND VOLUMES</i>	27
5.14.9	<i>DISTRIBUTION OPTION 1 – DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAFFIC AT 2012 - RESIDUAL CAPACITY IN ROAD NETWORK</i>	28
5.14.10	<i>DISTRIBUTION OPTION 2 – DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAFFIC AT 2012 - PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN TRAFFIC AGAINST 2008 BACKGROUND VOLUMES</i>	29
5.14.11	<i>DISTRIBUTION OPTION 2 – DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAFFIC AT 2012 - RESIDUAL CAPACITY IN ROAD NETWORK</i>	30
5.14.12	<i>DISTRIBUTION OPTION 3 – DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAFFIC AT 2012 - PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN TRAFFIC AGAINST 2008 BACKGROUND VOLUMES</i>	31
5.14.13	<i>DISTRIBUTION OPTION 3 – DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAFFIC AT 2012 - RESIDUAL CAPACITY IN ROAD NETWORK</i>	32
5.14.14	<i>DISTRIBUTION OPTION 4 (SATURDAY) – DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAFFIC AT 2012 - PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN TRAFFIC AGAINST 2008 BACKGROUND VOLUMES</i>	33
5.14.15	<i>DISTRIBUTION OPTION 4 (SATURDAY) – DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAFFIC AT 2012 - RESIDUAL CAPACITY IN ROAD NETWORK</i>	34

Tables

5.14.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	2
5.14.2	<i>SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT ANALYSES</i>	6
5.14.3	<i>ASSUMED PEAK PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS – CONSTRUCTION (2012)</i>	12
5.14.4	<i>MODELLED CONSTRUCTION WORKFORCE, BY YEAR</i>	13
5.14.5	<i>DAILY CONSTRUCTION PERSONNEL MOVEMENTS¹</i>	15
5.14.6	<i>CONSTRUCTION PERSONNEL MOVEMENTS – END OF SHIFT</i>	19
5.14.7	<i>CONSTRUCTION PERSONNEL MOVEMENTS AT END OF SHIFT – NUMBER OF VEHICLE MOVEMENTS PER DAY</i>	20
5.14.8	<i>DISTRIBUTION OPTIONS FOR ACCESS TO AUCKLAND POINT</i>	20
5.14.9	<i>HEAVY VEHICLE DEMANDS DURING CONSTRUCTION – NUMBER OF VEHICLE TRIP ENDS PER DAY</i>	24
5.14.10	<i>TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT SCENARIOS</i>	25

5.14.11	<i>INTERSECTION UPGRADE SUMMARY - ALL DISTRIBUTION OPTIONS</i>	36
5.14.12	<i>INTERSECTION UPGRADE SUMMARY - DISTRIBUTION OPTION 1 ONLY</i>	36
5.14.13	<i>INTERSECTION UPGRADE SUMMARY - DISTRIBUTION OPTION 2 ONLY</i>	37
5.14.14	<i>INTERSECTION UPGRADE SUMMARY - DISTRIBUTION OPTION 3 ONLY</i>	37

Chapter 15

15	<i>SHIPPING TRANSPORT</i>	1
15.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
15.2	<i>AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS</i>	2

Table

5.15.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
--------	---	---

Chapter 16

16	<i>VISUAL AMENITY</i>	1
16.1	<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	1
16.2	<i>RESPONSES TO SUBMISSIONS</i>	1
16.3	<i>AMENDMENTS TO VISUAL AMENITY BASELINE</i>	1
16.4	<i>UPDATE OF VISUAL AMENITY IMPACTS</i>	1
16.4.1	<i>Changes to LNG Facility Layout</i>	2
16.4.2	<i>Changes to Visual Impacts from Publicly Accessible Viewpoints</i>	4
16.4.3	<i>Changes to Visual Impacts from Surrounding Waterways</i>	6
16.4.4	<i>Night Lighting of the LNG Facility</i>	9
16.4.5	<i>Night Lighting Impacts of Alternative Marine-based Transportation Routes</i>	10
16.4.6	<i>Impact Summary</i>	10
16.5	<i>MITIGATION</i>	13
16.6	<i>UPDATED ASSESSMENT OF CUMULATIVE IMPACTS</i>	13

Figures

5.16.1	<i>PHOTOMONTAGE OF VISUAL IMPACTS FROM VIEWPOINT 8 – UNNAMED TRACK ALONG TARGINIE FORESHORE</i>	5
5.16.2	<i>PHOTOMONTAGE FROM VIEWPOINT 9 – PORT CURTIS ADJOINING NORTH PASSAGE ISLAND</i>	7

Tables

5.16.1	<i>VISUAL IMPACTS FROM VIEWPOINT 8 – UNNAMED TRACK ALONG TARGINIE FORESHORE</i>	5
5.16.2	<i>VISUAL IMPACTS FROM VIEWPOINT 9 – PORT CURTIS ADJOINING NORTH PASSAGE ISLAND</i>	7
5.16.3	<i>VISUAL IMPACTS FROM VIEWPOINT 10 – THE NARROWS (500 M NORTH OF LNG FACILITY)</i>	8
5.16.4	<i>VISUAL IMPACT FROM VIEWPOINT 11 – THE NARROWS (1 KM NORTH OF LNG FACILITY)</i>	8
5.16.5	<i>SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO VISUAL AMENITY IMPACTS FROM SELECTED VIEWPOINTS</i>	11

Chapter 17

17	WASTE MANAGEMENT	1
17.1	RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS	1
17.2	REVISED WASTE GENERATION ESTIMATES - CONSTRUCTION CAMP DOMESTIC WASTES	3
17.3	OPERATIONS EFFLUENT TREATMENT – FURTHER DESCRIPTION	5
17.3.1	System Description	5

Figures

5.17.1	<i>INDICATIVE CONSTRUCTION PHASE SOLID DOMESTIC WASTE VOLUMES</i>	4
5.17.2	<i>INDICATIVE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT (STP) EFFLUENT VOLUMES</i>	5

Tables

5.17.1	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	1
5.17.2	<i>INDICATIVE DOMESTIC WASTE VOLUMES - CONSTRUCTION TRAIN 1 AND 2</i>	4

Chapter 18

18	HAZARD AND RISK	1
18.1	MANAGING RISKS AND HAZARDS	4
18.2	AMENDMENTS TO BASELINE AND UPDATE OF IMPACTS	6
18.2.1	LNG Facility QRA	6
18.2.2	Shipping Risk Assessment – Berthing and Ship Loading	11
18.3	CONCLUSION	12

Figure

<i>FIGURE 5.18.1 RISK CONTOURS FOR THE QCLNG FACILITY - HIPAP RISK LEVELS (FATALITY RISK PER YEAR)</i>	<i>11</i>
--	-----------

Tables

<i>5.18.1</i>	<i>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT EIS</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>5.18.2</i>	<i>HIPAP DEFINED RADIANT IMPACT LEVELS</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>5.18.3</i>	<i>RISK LEVEL TERMINOLOGY AND NUMERICAL VALUES</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>5.18.4</i>	<i>HIPAP SUGGESTED INDIVIDUAL FATALITY RISK CRITERIA</i>	<i>10</i>

VOLUME 6: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT MARINE FACILITIES**VOLUME 7: GREENHOUSE GAS MANAGEMENT****VOLUME 8: SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT****VOLUME 9: GAS FIELD COMPONENT ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN****VOLUME 10: NO UPDATE TO VOLUME 10 (REFER TO DRAFT EIS)****VOLUME 11: LNG COMPONENT ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN****VOLUME 12: STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION****VOLUME 13: EPBC ASSESSMENT REPORT****APPENDICES**