

LOWER FITZROY RIVER

INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT

Appendix P

Surface water resources supporting material


Appendix A

MDS plots




- Figure A1 MDS plot of scenarios for 1969 data
- Figure A2 MDS plot of scenarios for 1965 data
- Figure A3 MDS plot of scenarios for 1982 data
- Figure A4 MDS plot of scenarios for 1952 data
- Figure A5 MDS plot of scenarios for 2007 data
- Figure A6 MDS plot of scenarios for 1909 data
- Figure A7 MDS plot of scenarios for 1994 data
- Figure A8 MDS plot of scenarios for 1913 data
- Figure A9 MDS plot of scenarios for 1998 data
- Figure A10 MDS plot of scenarios for 1988 data
- Figure A11 MDS plot of scenarios for 1928 data
- Figure A12 MDS plot of scenarios for 1976 data
- Figure A13 MDS plot of scenarios for 1918 data

Figure A1 MDS plot of scenarios for 1969 data



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A2 MDS plot of scenarios for 1965 data




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A3 MDS plot of scenarios for 1982 data



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A4 MDS plot of scenarios for 1952 data




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A5 MDS plot of scenarios for 2007 data




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A6 MDS plot of scenarios for 1909 data



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A7 MDS plot of scenarios for 1994 data



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A8 MDS plot of scenarios for 1913 data




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A9 MDS plot of scenarios for 1998 data




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A10 MDS plot of scenarios for 1988 data




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A11 MDS plot of scenarios for 1928 data




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A12 MDS plot of scenarios for 1976 data



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).

Figure A13 MDS plot of scenarios for 1918 data



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 2 = EB2; Scenario 4 = RW1+EB1; and Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3 (yield capped at 76,000 ML/a).