FLANAGAN CONSULTING GROUP
Trusted Advisor to Northern Australia

Ports North

CAIRNS SHIPPING DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Supplementary Report

Appendix C: Golder Associates Groundwater Modelling Report 1546223-024-R-Rev2

2 November 2017

UPDATE OF GROUNDWATER IMPACT ASSESSMENT - NORTHERN SANDS DMPA

Cairns Shipping Development Project

Submitted to:
Mr Pat Flanagan
Flanagan Consulting Group
~Transmission Via Email - pat@flanaganconsulting.com.au~

REPORT

Report Number. 1546223-024-R-Rev2

Distribution:

1 PDF

Golder Associates

Table of Contents

TABLES

FIGURES

APPENDICES

GLOSSARY, ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS

Term	Meaning
AHD	Australian Height Datum
EC	electrical conductivity
FCG	Flanagan Consulting Group
Golder	Golder Associates Pty Ltd
km	kilometre
m	metre
m/s	metres per second
m^3	cubic metres
m^3/day	cubic metres per day
g/s	grams per second

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Golder Associates (Golder) was commissioned by Flanagan Consulting Group (FCG) to update the groundwater impact assessment report previously prepared for proposed placement of dredged materials at the Northern Sands DMPA.

Modelling was previously carried out for proposed placement of dredged material in the eastern area of the overall lake with the results being presented in Baseline Hydrogeological Assessment Northern Sands, Golder Associates Report Ref 1546223-012-R-Rev4 dated January 2017 (Golder 2017a). Modelling was also carried out for proposed placement of dredged material in the western area of the overall lake with the results being presented in Groundwater Impact Assessment Northern Sands DMPA, Golder Associates Report Ref 1546223-023-R-Rev0 dated June 2017. 7 (Golder 2017b).

It is understood that placement of dredged material into all areas of the lake is now to be assessed. Details of the proposed placement were presented in the following documents:

- Northern Sands Dredge Material Placement - Placement Zone Plan and Volumes, Flanagan Consulting Group Dwg 3527SK-14D (FCG 2017a)
- Northern Sands Dredge Material Placement - Cross Sections, Flanagan Consulting Group Dwg 3527SK-15A (FCG 2017b)

A plan based on (FCG 2017a) showing the proposed dredged material placement area is presented as Figure 1. The plan also shows the proposed location of temporary bunds to provide flood immunity for events up to the 1% AEP for the Barron Delta. The temporary bunds have a design level of RL 5.5m.

The cross sections (FCG 2017b) present dredged material levels and lake water levels at the end of each of the 12 weeks of the dredging programme. The sections indicate placement of dredged material into the lake up to a maximum material level of 4.07m AHD with the associated raise of the water level in the lake up to a maximum of 5.07m AHD. As a consequence, the lake level will be above the surrounding groundwater level and above the water level in the Barron River during and after placement of the dredged material.

This report presents an update of the groundwater impact assessment based on the results of additional groundwater modelling for Sections A and B as shown on Figure 1.

2.0 GROUNDWATER MODELLING

Conceptual hydrogeological models were previously prepared for the Northern Sands DMPA (Golder 2017a and 2017b). In order to provide an assessment of saline water flow away from the lake for the revised placement processes, numerical models were prepared based on the conceptual hydrogeological models and inferred subsurface conditions along south-southwest to north-northeast and northwest to southeast oriented cross-sections as shown in Figure 1. The simplified cross-sectional models are shown in Figure 2.

The finite element software SEEP/W was used to develop a variably saturated, solute transport model. Parameters for the modelling were the same as those adopted for the previous modelling (Golder 2017a and 2017b) which were based on the results of fieldwork and laboratory testing.

During the placement of dredged material, the water level in the lake will increase as the pit is filled with saline water. Placement of the dredged material has been modelled in 5 sequential stages for Section A and 6 sequential stages for Section B to a maximum level of 4.07m AHD over a period of 84 days as per Figure 3. It has been assumed that the dredged material will have a saturated hydraulic conductivity of 1 x 10^{-8} m/s.

The adopted boundary conditions for the models are shown in Figure 4. The water level in the lake has been modelled as increasing to 5.07m AHD over the 12 weeks of filling and then held constant at this level for 2 years after the end of the dredged material disposal as shown in Figure 3. The level of the dredged material has also been held constant for 2 years as shown in Figure 3. This is considered to be conservative given that it is proposed to reduce the water level as the surface of the dredged materials drops as a result of settlement that will occur after deposition.

3.0 POTENTIAL GROUNDWATER IMPACTS

3.1 Impacts on the upper unconfined aquifer and near surface soils to the north and east of Narelle Lake

The near surface soils to the north and east of the lake comprise a surficial clay layer generally ranging in thickness from about 1 m to 4 m, overlying the upper sand layer (refer Units 1 and 2 in Figure 2). The results of modelling to assess potential groundwater impacts are presented in Figures 5 and 6. Figure 5 shows contours of the salinity concentration under increased lake levels at Sections A and B and indicates that the lateral migration of salinity through the surficial clay layer is significantly less than the extent of migration through the underlying upper sand layer. Figure 6 shows profiles of the increased salinity concentrations above concentrations prior to placement as the distance increases away from the lake at Section A and Section B. The profiles in Figure 6 are based on salinity concentrations in the upper sand layer below the surficial clay layer.

A summary of the approximate distances to the north of the lake (i.e. Section A) and to the east of the lake (i.e. Section B) impacted by an increase in salinity is provided in Table 1. The estimated extent of increase in salinity in the upper sand layer to the north and east of the lake is shown on Figure 7. It should be noted that the estimated extent of increase in salinity in the upper sand layer to the north and east of the lake shown in Figure 7 is considered to be conservative as it is proposed to reduce the water level in the lake as the level of the dredged materials drops as a result of settlement.

Table 1: Extent of increase in salinity in upper sand layer

Cumulative days from commencement of dredged material placement	Approximate maximum distance to which increased salinity concentration extends for Section A	Approximate maximum distance to which increased concentration extends for Section B
84 days (end of placement)	115 m	120 m
792 days (~2 years after end of placement)	150 m	150 m

As outlined above, the lateral migration of salinity through the surficial clay layer is significantly less than the extent of migration through the upper sand layer. During the period considered in the modelling (i.e. up to 2 years after the end of placement of dredged material) the hydraulic gradient remains downwards away from the lake. The downward hydraulic gradient will limit the extent to which salt can migrate upwards into the surficial clay layer and it is assessed that negligible changes in the salinity of the near surface clays will occur.

Although it is assessed that there will negligible changes in the salinity of the near surface clays, the thickness of the clays within the sugar cane land to the east of the lake is variable, ranging from about 1 m at the site boundary to about 2 m at about 80 m into the site or about 150 m from the lake (i.e. the lateral extent of the area of increased salinity). Figure 6 indicates that the increase in salinity concentrations within the upper sand reduces from about 7 500 g/m^3 at the site boundary to nil about 80 m into the site. On this basis there may be a potential for the root zone of the sugar cane in the area near the site boundary where the thickness of the clays is thinner and the increase in salinity is greater to be impacted by an increase in salinity, however this potential will reduce with the progressive increase in clay thickness and diminishing salinity levels. With regards to the sugar cane land to the north, the potential for the root zone of the sugar cane to be impacted by an increase in salinity is much less as the thickness of the clays is greater (i.e. 3 m to 3.5 m) and the increase in salinity concentrations within the upper sand reduces from about 2 000 g/m3 at the site boundary to nil about 30 m into the site or about 150 m from the lake (i.e. the lateral extent of the area of increased salinity).

3.2 Impacts on the Barron River

The potential flow rate and solute transport rate between Narelle Lake and the Barron River during the period of increased lake water level was assessed during initial studies (Golder 2017a), noting that at that stage, a higher lake level and different lake configuration was proposed.

Further assessment during subsequent studies (Golder 2017b) indicated that groundwater seepage from the lake to the river during the period of increased water level would briefly reach a rate of 25 000 m^3/day for a lake level of about 7m AHD. This could result in a maximum salt flux of 3 500 g/s distributed along approximately 1.1 kilometres of the Barron River that is located to the west of the dredge placement area.

The results of the updated modelling indicate that groundwater seepage from the lake to the river during the period of the increased lake water level will reach a maximum rate of 7 400 m^3/day with a maximum salt flux of about 700 g/s distributed along approximately 1.1 km length of the Barron River.

Potential impacts of the groundwater seepage on water quality in the Barron River have been addressed by BMT WBM in their Marine Water Quality Impact Assessment Technical Report, 2017.

3.3 Other Impacts

As outlined in previous studies (Golder 2017b), other impacts which could result from the proposed extension of the lake, construction of the bunds, and increased water level in the lake during placement of dredged material include:

- Seepage beneath the bund wall in areas where the foundation material beneath the bund comprises higher permeability sandy material leading to increased saturation levels/water logging with high salinity at the surface close to the bund wall. Upward migration of water, potentially with elevated salinity, could also occur at locations further from the bund wall as a result of increased groundwater pressures in the upper aquifer, where isolated areas of higher permeability sandy materials are present at surface and are directly connected with the upper aquifer. The potential for such impacts to occur close to the bund wall can be mitigated through appropriate subsurface investigations along the bund, and measures such as the removal of unsuitable material from the foundation. The potential for impacts at locations further from the bund wall can be mitigated through management of groundwater pressures in the upper aquifer. This mitigation will be achieved through controlling the water level in the lake until the level of the low permeability dredged material in the placement area has increased to the level where it limits the direct connection between the aquifer and the water in the placement area.
- If areas of high permeability sandy soils are not detected and addressed in the design and/or construction of the bund wall, the potential exists for piping through such materials, with the potential to impact on the integrity of the bund wall. This could lead to safety risks and risks to adjacent infrastructure, in addition to the environmental risks that would result from the potential release of large volumes of saline water and dredged material. The potential for such impacts to occur can be mitigated through appropriate subsurface investigations along the bund, appropriate design of the bund, and measures such as the removal of unsuitable material from the foundation.

3.4 Summary of Potential Impacts

The potential groundwater impacts identified during previous studies (Golder 17b) are summarised as follows:

- Impacts on water quality in the Barron River as a result of seepage from the dredged material placement area to the river.
- Impacts on water quality in the upper unconfined aquifer.
- Increased salinity in near surface soils.
- Increased saturation levels/water logging of surface soils as a result of seepage beneath the bund wall.
- Seepage beneath the bund wall adversely impacting on the integrity of the bund wall.

- Upward migration of water, potentially with elevated salinity, at locations further from the bund wall where isolated areas of higher permeability sandy materials are present at surface and are directly connected with the laterally extensive upper aquifer.

Further assessment of soils related impacts is presented in Section 4.0

4.0 GROUNDWATER IMPACT ASSESSMENT

4.1 Methodology

In order to address the terms of reference, guidelines and other requirements for the currently defined project, the following methodology was adopted:

- Assess impacts (based on the risk assessment format outlined below);
- Provide recommendations for mitigation by design changes; and
- Provide recommendations for mitigation by management.

Flanagan Consulting Group has extracted relevant items from the Queensland Government Terms of Reference and the Commonwealth Government Guidelines for groundwater studies. These items and assessed relevant details were presented in previous studies (Golder 2017b).

The initial assessment of impacts utilises a significance table based on that shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Significance criteria

Impact significance / consequence	Description of significance (examples)
Very High	The impact is considered critical to the decision-making process. Impacts tend to be permanent or irreversible or otherwise long term and can occur over large scale areas. Very high sensitivity of environmental receptors to impact (e.g. permanent loss of groundwater dependent ecosystems).
High	The impact is considered likely to be important to decision-making. Impacts tend to be permanent or irreversible or otherwise long to medium term. Impacts can occur over large or medium scale areas. High to moderate sensitivity of environmental receptors to impact (e.g. permanent increase in salinity of surface aquifer creating permanent decrease in cane crop yields and reduced health of riparian vegetation).
Moderate	The effects of the impact are relevant to decision making including the development of environmental mitigation measures Impacts can range from long term to short term in duration Impacts can occur over medium scale areas or otherwise represents a significant impact at the local scale Moderate sensitivity of environmental receptors to impact (e.g. bund failure resulting in discharge of saline waters and dredge material to riparian areas and Barron River and resulting in short term mortality of adjacent cane crops or short term suspended solids loading to the Barron River).).
Minor	Impacts are recognisable/detectable but acceptable. These impacts are unlikely to be of importance in the decision making process. Nevertheless, they are relevant in the consideration of standard mitigation measures. Impacts tend to be short term or temporary and/or occur at local scale. (e.g short term increase in salinity of surface aquifer creating short term decrease in cane crop yields and reduced health of riparian vegetation).

Negligible	Minimal change to the existing situation. This could include, for example, impacts which are beneath levels of detection, impacts that are within the normal bounds of variation, or impacts that are within the margin of forecasting error (e.g. minor short term salinity increases in adjacent surface aquifer salinity).
Beneficial	Impacts have a positive outcome on the existing situation. This could include for example, an improvement in vegetation management or an improvement in air quality as a result of the project.

The approach to classifying the duration of identified impacts is presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Classifications of the duration of identified impacts

Relative Duration Of Impacts	
Temporary	Days to months
Short Term	Up to one year
Medium Term	From one to five years
Long Term	From five to fifty years
Permanent/Irreversible	In excess of fifty years

The likelihood of an impact occurring is assessed as per Table 4.

Table 4: Likelihood of impact

Likelihood of Impacts	**Risk probability categories**
Highly Unlikely	Highly unlikely to occur but theoretically possible
Unlikely	May occur during construction of the project but probability well below 50%; unlikely, but not negligible
Possible	Less likely than not but still appreciable; probability of about 50%
Likely	Likely to occur during construction or during a 12 month timeframe; probability greater than 50%
Almost Certain	Very likely to occur as a result of the proposed project construction and/or operations; could occur multiple times during relevant impacting period

A risk rating is assigned by assessing significance versus likelihood within a risk matrix. Risk is described as the product of likelihood and significance as shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Risk matrix

Likelihood	Significance				
	Negligible	**Minor**	**Moderate**	**High**	**Very high**
Highly Unlikely/ Rare	Negligible	Negligible	Low	Medium	High
Unlikely	Negligible	Low	Low	Medium	High
Possible	Negligible	Low	Medium	Medium	High
Likely	Negligible	Medium	Medium	High	Extreme
Almost Certain	Low	Medium	High	Extreme	Extreme

The rating of risk assessed in the risk matrix is presented in Table 6.

Table 6: Risk Rating Legend

Extreme Risk	An issue requiring change in project scope; almost certain to result in a 'significant' impact on a Matter of National or State Environmental Significance
High Risk	An issue requiring further detailed investigation and planning to manage and reduce risk; likely to result in a 'significant' impact on a Matter of National or State Environmental Significance
Medium Risk	An issue requiring project specific controls and procedures to manage
Low Risk	Manageable by standard mitigation and similar operating procedures
Negligible Risk	No additional management required

After assessing the nature and severity of impacts they are summarised under the following categories:

- Adverse/beneficial;
- Consequential;
- Cumulative;
- Short-term/long term;
- Reversible/irreversible; and
- Predictable/unpredictable.

4.2 Results of impact assessment

Potential impacts related to groundwater and possible mitigation strategies have been outlined in Section 3.0.

An assessment of these impacts is presented in Table 8, based on the mitigation measures proposed in Table 7.

Table 7: Summary of Mitigation Measures

<table>
<tr><th>Impacting Processes</th><th>Proposed Mitigation Measures</th></tr>
<tr><td>Seepage from the dredge placement area towards the Barron River causing increases in salinity in the river.</td><td rowspan="4">Limit the water level in the lake until sufficient dredged material has been placed in the lake to create a low permeability barrier between the saline water in the lake, and the surrounding aquifer.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Lateral migration of saline water away from the dredge placement area causing impacts on water quality in the upper unconfined aquifer.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Lateral migration of saline water away from the dredge placement area causing increased salinity in near surface soils.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Elevated groundwater pressures in upper unconfined aquifer causing upward migration of potentially saline water, in areas where higher permeability sandy materials are present at surface.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Seepage beneath the bund causing increased saturation levels/water logging of surface soils close to the bund.</td><td rowspan="2">Geotechnical investigation along the alignment of the wall to identify unsuitable foundation materials for the wall, engineering design to take into account foundation materials, and oversight of construction to ensure that the construction is adapted where necessary to ground conditions encountered on site.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Seepage beneath the bund wall adversely impacting on the integrity of the bund wall.</td></tr>
</table>

Table 8: Assessment of impacts

Primary impacting processes	Initial assessment with standard (statutory) mitigation measures in Place			Residual assessment with additional (proposed) mitigation measures in place		
	Significance of impact	Likelihood of impact	Risk rating	Significance of impact	Likelihood of impact	Risk rating
Seepage from the dredge placement area towards the Barron River causing increases in salinity in the river.	Negligible	Almost certain	Low	Negligible	Almost certain	Low
Lateral migration of saline water away from the dredge placement area causing impacts on water quality in the upper unconfined aquifer.	Moderate	Likely	Medium	Minor	Likely	Medium
Lateral migration of saline water away from the dredge placement area causing increased salinity in near surface soils.	Moderate	Possible	Medium	Moderate	Possible	Medium
Seepage beneath the bund causing increased saturation levels/water logging of surface soils close to the bund.	Minor	Possible	Low	Minor	Unlikely	Low
Seepage beneath the bund wall adversely impacting on the integrity of the bund wall.	Moderate	Possible	Medium	Moderate	Unlikely	Low
Elevated groundwater pressures in upper unconfined aquifer causing upward migration of potentially saline water, in areas where higher permeability sandy materials are present at surface.	Moderate	Possible	Medium	Moderate	Unlikely	Low

Based on the above, the risks associated with potential impacts related to groundwater are assessed to be predominantly low, with a likely minor impact on water quality in the upper unconfined aquifer and a possible moderate impact on the near surface soils leading to medium risks. With reference to the modelling discussed in Section 2.0, these impacts are likely to be limited to a maximum distance of about 150 m from the placement area. Further assessments of the impacts are presented in Table 9.

Table 9: Summary of assessed impacts

Element	Adverse impact	Beneficial impact	Consequential impact	Cumulative impact	Short term	Long term	Reversible	Irreversible	Predictable	Unpredictable
Ground-water and surface water	Lateral migration of saline water away from placement area causing increased salinity of the Barron River		Impacts on water quality.		X		X		X	
Ground-water	Lateral migration of saline water away from placement area causing increased salinity of upper unconfined aquifer		Limitations on the potential to locate shallow groundwater bores close to the placement area			X	X		X	
Ground-water and soils	Lateral migration of saline water away from placement area causing increased salinity of near surface soils		Decrease in productivity of agricultural land		X		X		X	
Ground-water	Seepage beneath the bund causing increased saturation levels/water logging of surface soils close to the bund.		Poor trafficability in areas close to the bund.		X		X		X	
Ground-water	Seepage beneath the bund wall adversely impacting on the integrity of the bund wall.		Failure of the bund wall with release of saline water and potential acid sulfate soils.		X		X		X	
Ground-water	Elevated groundwater pressures in upper unconfined aquifer causing upward migration of potentially saline water.		Poor trafficability, impacts on surface infrastructure, decrease in productivity of agricultural land.		X		X			X

5.0 MONITORING PROGRAM

As identified in Section 4.0 the main potential impacts on groundwater are:

- Localised increase in groundwater level adjacent to lake during dredged material placement; and
- Changes in groundwater quality (salinity) associated with flow of saline water outwards from the lake.

Groundwater monitoring is to be carried out to assess changes in water level and water quality parameters, to assess whether such changes are within the expected range. The proposed groundwater monitoring network will make use of some of the existing monitoring bores at the site, and will also include additional bores located around the perimeter of the lake. The location of the existing and proposed monitoring bores is illustrated in Figure 7.

The groundwater monitoring network will be used to collect both groundwater level and water quality data prior to, during, and after placement of dredged material. Pressure/electrical conductivity transducers will be installed in selected bores to enable near real time monitoring of groundwater level, electrical conductivity and pH and to allow a greater understanding of the natural variability of these parameters. Trigger levels for water level and water quality parameters will be set relative to background values established through the pre-dredging period, and based on the predicted changes in water level and salinity.

Table 10 provides details of the proposed monitoring and sampling for different phases of the program.

A more detailed monitoring plan and approach to establishment of baseline values and trigger values will be developed in the detailed design phase.

Table 10: Proposed groundwater monitoring

Monitoring Phase	Parameter	Sampling Frequency
12 months prior to placement of dredged material	Water Level	Hourly (data logger) and manually during monthly sampling events
	Electrical Conductivity and pH	Hourly (data logger) and monthly during sampling events
	Field physicochemical parameters (EC, pH, DO, Redox, Temp)	Monthly during sampling events
	Major Ions	Monthly
	Metals (Total / Dissolved)	Monthly
During placement of dredged material	Water Level	Hourly (data logger) and manually during monthly sampling events
	Electrical Conductivity and pH	Hourly (data logger) and monthly during sampling events
	Field physicochemical parameters (EC, pH, DO, Redox, Temp)	Weekly during sampling events
	Major Ions	Weekly
	**Metals (Total / Dissolved)	Weekly
24 months after placement of dredged material	Water Level	Hourly (data logger) and manually during monthly sampling events
	Electrical Conductivity and pH	Hourly (data logger) and monthly during sampling events
	Field physicochemical parameters (EC, pH, DO, Redox Temp)	Monthly during sampling events
	Major Ions	Monthly
	**Metals (Total / Dissolved)	Monthly

**The need for on-going metal analysis will be assessed based on background concentrations and exceedances observed during filling. The pH will be systematically monitoring and should pH values show a decrease to below 6, then metals testing would be recommenced.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The results of the updated groundwater modelling indicate that after 2 years the extent of an increase in salinity in the upper aquifer around the lake due to currently proposed placement of dredged materials will be about 150 m. This will impact the Barron River to the west of the site, plus the sugar cane land to the north and east of the site. It is noted that the assessed extent of the increase in salinity within two years of deposition is considered to be conservative as it is proposed to reduce the water level in the lake as the level of the dredged materials drops.

The lateral migration of salinity through the surficial clay layer will be significantly less than the extent of migration through the upper sand layer. A general downward hydraulic gradient from the lake will limit the extent to which salt can migrate upwards into the surficial clay layer and it is assessed that negligible changes in the salinity of the near surface clays will occur. Notwithstanding this there is a potential for the root zone of the sugar cane in the adjacent property to the east of the site to be impacted by an increase in salinity where the layer of surface clay is about 1 m to 2m thick within the extent of the impacted area.

Within the extent of the aquifer that is impacted by outward salinity migration resulting from the placement of the dredged material, salinity concentrations are likely to remain elevated in the long term (i.e. five to fifty years as defined in Table 3).

The potential groundwater impacts associated with the currently proposed placement of dredged materials at the Northern Sands DMPA are generally consistent with the previous groundwater impact assessment (Golder 2017b). As outlined in Section 4.0 the risks associated with potential impacts related to groundwater are assessed to be predominantly low, with a likely minor impact on water quality in the upper unconfined aquifer and a possible moderate impact on the near surface soils leading to medium risks.

Groundwater monitoring is to be carried out to assess changes in water level and water quality parameters, to assess whether such changes are within the expected range. The proposed groundwater monitoring network will be used to collect both groundwater level and water quality data prior to, during, and after placement of dredged material. The proposed groundwater monitoring program is outlined in Section 5.0.

7.0 IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Your attention is drawn to the document - “Important Information relating to this report”, which is included as Appendix A. The statements presented in this document are intended to advise you of what your realistic expectations of this report should be. The document is not intended to reduce the level of responsibility accepted by Golder Associates, but rather to ensure that all parties who may rely on this report are aware of the responsibilities each assumes in so doing.

Report Signature Page

GOLDER ASSOCIATES PTY LTD

Malcolm Cook
Principal

JL/MSC/PKS/DB/msc

A.B.N. 64 006 107 857

j:\geo\2015\1546223 - fcg - eis stage 1 - port development\corr out\1546223-024-r-rev2 update of groundwater impact assessment at northern sands.docx

FIGURES



LOCATION MAP



LEGEND

Cross Section for SeepW Modelling

Earth Bund

- Earth Bund (RL 5.50)
- Existing Earth Bund (RL 5.50 or greater)
- Watercourses (25k)

Dredge Material Placement

- Dredge Material Placement Zone
- Existing Sand Reclamation Area
- Future Sand Reclamation Area

0 100 200 300 400 500

1:8,000 at A3 Metres

PROJECTION: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55

CLIENT

FLANAGAN CONSULTING GROUP

PROJECT

CAIRNS SHIPPING DEVELOPMENT EIS

TITLE

DREDGE MATERIAL PLACEMENT AREA

CONSULTANT

Golder Associates

YYYY-MM-DD	2017-10-26
PREPARED	HG
DESIGNED	HG
REVIEWED	MSC
APPROVED	MSC

PROJECT NO.	CONTROL	REV.	FIGURE
1546223	024	0	1

IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM: A3

25mm

Path: \\golder.gds\gap\Cairns\Jobs\Geo\2015\1546223 - FCG - EIS Stage 1 - Port Development\GIS\MXD\Hydro_Assessment\1546223-024-R-Rev0_F001_Nt_Sands_DredgeMaterial.mxd



Golder Associates	CLIENT: Flanagan Consulting Group		PROJECT: **Cairns Shipping Development EIS**	
	DRAWN: JL	DATE: 24/10/12017	**Seep/W cross-sectional models**	
	CHECKED: MC	DATE: 24/10/2017		
	SCALE: NTS		PROJECT No. 1546223-024	FIGURE No. **FIGURE 2**





Golder Associates	CLIENT Flanagan Consulting Group			PROJECT Cairns Shipping Development EIS			
	DRAWN JL	DATE 24/10/17		TITLE Water levels and dredged material levels used for each model– Section A and B			
	CHECKED MSC	DATE 24/10/17					
	SCALE Not to Scale			PROJECT No 1546223-024	FIGURE No 3	REV No 0	A3



Boundary (All levels in mAHD)	Barron River	Pit Lake
Pre-filling	0.25m / 16000g/m3	0.25m / 500g/m3
Filling	0.25m / 160000g/m3	0.25m increasing to up to 5.07 m/ 35000g/m3
Post - filling	0.25m / 16000g/m3	Rainfall recharge



Golder Associates	CLIENT: Flanagan Consulting Group		PROJECT: **Cairns Shipping Development EIS**
	DRAWN: JL	DATE: 24/10/2017	**Seep/w Model Boundary Conditions**
	CHECKED: MSC	DATE: 24/10/2017	
	SCALE: NTS		PROJECT No. 1546223-024 / FIGURE No. **FIGURE 4**

J:\Hyd\2016\Cairns\1540311 Northern Sands\Analysis\SEEP w MODEL\Modelling for phase 9200\Figures\[Figure 4 seep_W Boundary Conditions.xlsx]Landscape

Filling to WL 5.07 m RL with dredged material level at 4.07 m RL at the end of 12 weeks disposal

Section A



Filling to WL 5.07 m RL with dredged material level at 4.07 m RL at the end of 12 weeks disposal

Section B



Concentration
- 0 - 5,000 g/m³
- 5,000 - 10,000 g/m³
- 10,000 - 15,000 g/m³
- 15,000 - 20,000 g/m³
- 20,000 - 25,000 g/m³
- 25,000 - 30,000 g/m³
- 30,000 - 35,000 g/m³

Golder Associates	CLIENT Flanagan Consulting Group		PROJECT Cairns Shipping Development EIS	
	DRAWN JL	DATE 24/10/17	TITLE Concentration contours at completion of disposal - Section A and Section B	
	CHECKED MSC	DATE 24/10/17		
	SCALE Not to Scale		PROJECT No 1546223-024	FIGURE No 5 / REV No 0 / A3





Profiles based on concentrations in the upper sand layer immediately below the upper clay layer

Golder Associates

CLIENT Flanagan Consulting Group		PROJECT Cairns Shipping Development EIS	
DRAWN JL	DATE 24/10/17	TITLE **Profiles of increase in concentration with distance from lake – Section A and B (WL up to 5.07 mRL)**	
CHECKED MSC	DATE 24/10/17		
SCALE Not to Scale		PROJECT No 1546223-024	FIGURE No 6 · REV No 3 · A3

LOCATION MAP



LEGEND

- Existing Monitoring Bore
- Proposed Monitoring Bore
- Cross Section for SeepW Modelling
- Earth Bund (RL 5.50)
- Existing Earth Bund (RL 5.50 or greater)
- Watercourses (25k)
- Estimated Extent of Increase in Salinity in Upper Sand Layer

Dredge Material Placement

- Dredge Material Placement Zone
- Existing Sand Reclamation Area
- Future Sand Reclamation Area

0 100 200 300 400 500 Metres

1:8,000 at A3

PROJECTION: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55

CLIENT
FLANAGAN CONSULTING GROUP

PROJECT
CAIRNS SHIPPING DEVELOPMENT EIS

TITLE
APPROXIMATE EXTENT OF INCREASE IN SALINITY IN UPPER SAND LAYER

CONSULTANT
Golder Associates

YYYY-MM-DD	2017-10-30
PREPARED	HG
DESIGNED	HG
REVIEWED	MSC
APPROVED	MSC

PROJECT NO.	CONTROL	REV.	FIGURE
1546223	024	1	7

Path: \\golder.gds\GAP\Cairns\Jobs\Geo\2015\1546223 - FCG - EIS Stage 1 - Port Development\GIS\MXD\Hydro_Assessment\1546223-024-R-Rev0_F007_Nt_Sands_DredgeMaterial - updated.mxd

25mm IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM: A3

Appendix A

Important information relating to this document

IMPORTANT INFORMATION RELATING TO THIS REPORT

The document ("Report") to which this page is attached and which this page forms a part of, has been issued by Golder Associates Pty Ltd ("Golder") subject to the important limitations and other qualifications set out below.

This Report constitutes or is part of services ("Services") provided by Golder to its client ("Client") under and subject to a contract between Golder and its Client ("Contract"). The contents of this page are not intended to and do not alter Golder's obligations (including any limits on those obligations) to its Client under the Contract.

This Report is provided for use solely by Golder's Client and persons acting on the Client's behalf, such as its professional advisers. Golder is responsible only to its Client for this Report. Golder has no responsibility to any other person who relies or makes decisions based upon this Report or who makes any other use of this Report. Golder accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage suffered by any person other than its Client as a result of any reliance upon any part of this Report, decisions made based upon this Report or any other use of it.

This Report has been prepared in the context of the circumstances and purposes referred to in, or derived from, the Contract and Golder accepts no responsibility for use of the Report, in whole or in part, in any other context or circumstance or for any other purpose.

The scope of Golder's Services and the period of time they relate to are determined by the Contract and are subject to restrictions and limitations set out in the Contract. If a service or other work is not expressly referred to in this Report, do not assume that it has been provided or performed. If a matter is not addressed in this Report, do not assume that any determination has been made by Golder in regards to it.

At any location relevant to the Services conditions may exist which were not detected by Golder, in particular due to the specific scope of the investigation Golder has been engaged to undertake. Conditions can only be verified at the exact location of any tests undertaken. Variations in conditions may occur between tested locations and there may be conditions which have not been revealed by the investigation and which have not therefore been taken into account in this Report.

Golder accepts no responsibility for and makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of the information provided to it by or on behalf of the Client or sourced from any third party. Golder has assumed that such information is correct unless otherwise stated and no responsibility is accepted by Golder for incomplete or inaccurate data supplied by its Client or any other person for whom Golder is not responsible. Golder has not taken account of matters that may have existed when the Report was prepared but which were only later disclosed to Golder.

Having regard to the matters referred to in the previous paragraphs on this page in particular, carrying out the Services has allowed Golder to form no more than an opinion as to the actual conditions at any relevant location. That opinion is necessarily constrained by the extent of the information collected by Golder or otherwise made available to Golder. Further, the passage of time may affect the accuracy, applicability or usefulness of the opinions, assessments or other information in this Report. This Report is based upon the information and other circumstances that existed and were known to Golder when the Services were performed and this Report was prepared. Golder has not considered the effect of any possible future developments including physical changes to any relevant location or changes to any laws or regulations relevant to such location.

Where permitted by the Contract, Golder may have retained subconsultants affiliated with Golder to provide some or all of the Services. However, it is Golder which remains solely responsible for the Services and there is no legal recourse against any of Golder's affiliated companies or the employees, officers or directors of any of them.

By date, or revision, the Report supersedes any prior report or other document issued by Golder dealing with any matter that is addressed in the Report.

Any uncertainty as to the extent to which this Report can be used or relied upon in any respect should be referred to Golder for clarification.

As a global, employee-owned organisation with over 50 years of experience, Golder Associates is driven by our purpose to engineer earth's development while preserving earth's integrity. We deliver solutions that help our clients achieve their sustainable development goals by providing a wide range of independent consulting, design and construction services in our specialist areas of earth, environment and energy.

For more information, visit golder.com

Africa	+ 27 11 254 4800
Asia	+ 86 21 6258 5522
Australasia	+ 61 3 8862 3500
Europe	+ 44 1628 851851
North America	+ 1 800 275 3281
South America	+ 56 2 2616 2000

solutions@golder.com
www.golder.com

Golder Associates Pty Ltd
147 Coronation Drive
Milton, Queensland 4064
Australia
T: +61 7 3721 5400